

2:STAT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/03/21 : CIA-RDP10M02313R000100720005-6



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520

AR 17 9 53 M 172

April 9, 1982

Senior Interagency Group No. 8

| To: | OVP | | Mrs. Nancy Bearg Dyke | | 8209858 |
|------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| | NSC | _ | Mr. Michael Wheeler | - | 8209859 |
| | CIA | | Mr. Thomas B. Cormack | - | 8209860 |
| | Commerce | | Ms. Jean Jones | | 8209861 |
| | Defense | | COL John Stanford | - | 8209862 |
| | Energy | | Mr. William Vitale | | 8209863 |
| | Interior | | Mr. Arthur Russell | - | 8209864 |
| | JCS | | MAJ Dennis Stanley | - | 8209865 |
| | Justice | _ | Mr. Henry Habicht | _ | 8209866 |
| | Labor | _ | Mr. Robert Searby | _ | 8209867 |
| | OMB | | Mr. William Schneider | · _ | 8209868 |
| | Transportation | _ | Mrs. Katherine Anderson | _ | 8209869 |
| | Treasury | | Mr. David Pickford | | 8209870 |
| Aligna 111 | UNA | | Amb. Harvey Feldman | | 8209871 |

SUBJECT: SIG Meeting on Law of the Sea; List of Participants

Attached is the list of participants in the April 5 SIG Meeting on Law of the Sea.

factions Study for L. Paul Bremer, III Executive Secretary

Attachments:

List of Participants.

C-121

4.117

SIG MEETING

LAW OF THE SEA

April 5, 1981 - 5:30 PM

Room 7219

PARTICIPANTS

State:

Under Secretary James Buckley, Chairman

Robert Hormats, EB Davis Robinson, L E. Verville, L

Michael Calingaert, FB William Salmon, T M.A. Mason, OES Harry Marshall, OES

Tain Tompkins, S/S-S (Notetaker)

LOS Delegation:

James Malone

T.A. Clingan Leigh Ratiner

White House:

Douglas Bandow, OPD

Labor:

Meyer Bernstein

NSC:

Michael Guhin

Justice:

Alfred Regnery

OMB:

Phil DuSault

Interior: Dave Russell

DOD:

P. Messill

B. Harbo, JCS

John Henriksen, JCS

Hugh O'Neill, Navy, OGC

Treasury: Marc Leland

William Schall

CIA:

25X1 STAT

ILLEGIB

Commerce:

John Byrne, NOAA

Ray Waldmann

Transportation:

John Fowler

Jim Ellis

Energy:

John Dugger

George Bradley

6 April 1982

82-262/5

| MEMORANDUM FOR: | Director of Central Intelligence Deputy Director of Central Intelligence | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|--|--|
| VIA: | Deputy Director for Intelligence | | | |
| FROM: | Chief, Geography Division, OGI | sfãŤ ¹ | | |
| SUBJECT: | Senior Interdepartmental Group (SIG) Meeting on the Law of the Sea (LOS) | 25X1 | | |
| information the | Requested: None. This memorandum summarizes for your results of the SIG meeting chaired by James L. Buckley, Under te for Security Assistance, Science and Technology, on 5 April at of State. | 25 X 1 | | |
| Eleventh Session discuss the Chai attaining the Pro | of the UN Law of the Sea Conference at its midpoint, and to man of the Delegation's assessment of the prospects for esident's negotiating objectives as stated in National on Directive No. 20, United States Law of the Sea Policy, | 25 X 1 | | |
| 3. Results of the SIG Meeting: Ambassador James L. Malone, Special Representative of the President to the LOS Conference, and Leigh Ratiner, chief negotiator on deep seabed matters, spoke of the critical negotiating impasse that has evolved between the US and the G-77 Developing Country caucus. The US' proposed "book of amendments" to the existing draft treaty on the deep seabeds has served the purpose of acquainting the Conference with our requirements, but is not saleable as the sole basis for further negotiations. What has evolved from a Group of 11 middle-sized industrial nations are compromise proposals which, while they do not meet all US objectives, do represent a reasonable point for restarting the deep seabed negotiations. The G-77 leadership appears ready to accept these proposals and is awaiting some sign of flexibility in the US position and a real probability of US signature of the Convention in 1982. Should we not move towards a more negotiable stance at this time the G-77 is likely to push toward a final treaty without us. Such an eventuality, according to Malone, would likely include our lukewarm allies, and thereby leave us in a difficult legal position in regard to deep seabed mining by US companies. | | | | |
| | | 25X1 | | |
| | SECRET C-121 | | | |

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/03/21 : CIA-RDP10M02313R000100720005-6

| SUBJECT: Senior Interdepartmental Group (SIG) Meeting on the Law of the Sea (LOS) | 25 X 1 |
|---|------------------------|
| Malone and Ratiner reviewed the number of points in the US position that are gained in the G-11 proposals, and the specific points that must still be achieved if we are to have a treaty package which meets the President's negotiating objectives. The most troublesome remaining issues are: | |
| (a) production limitations on seabed mining, | |
| (b the International Seabed Authority's (ISA) Council decision- making process, and | |
| (c) the potential monopolistic position of the ISA's mining armthe Enterprise. | |
| It is felt that these treaty texts can be corrected or at least improved by continuing to negotiate. | 25 X 1 |
| The Treasury Department and State's Economic Bureau questioned the production limitation problem and the adverse impact it would have on a budding US industry. Ambassador Malone indicated that this is indeed a tough issue, but that it might be possible to raise the production ceiling a modest amount. In any case, he stressed that the "bite" of such a limitation would probably not be felt because other economic factors in the minerals market would keep seabed production below the production ceiling. | 25X1 |
| Representatives from several departments and the White House's Office of Policy Development criticized the wording of the draft treaty text which appears to imply benefit sharing of deep seabed revenues for national liberation movements like the PLO. Ratiner stressed that legally the present text affords protection from this because the US is guaranteed a seat on the Council and can veto such proposals. Nevertheless, he agreed the wording is distasteful and should be fixed. | 25X1 |
| Secretary Buckley summarized the SIG's general feeling that our delegation had made good progress in a difficult negotiating situation. A more flexible stance following the G-11 proposals is called for since there appears to be a chance of attaining the President's six general objectives. Buckley cautioned that particularly distasteful wording such as that on national liberation movements, whether or not the wording impacts on the treaty, should be removed. | 25 X 1 STAT1 |
| | |

| SUBJECT: | Senior Interdepartmental Group (SIG) M | | 5 X 1 |
|---|--|---|--------------|
| OGI/GD_ | (6Apr82) | S | TAT |
| 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | tion: - Addressee - DDCI - Executive Director - C/IAS/OPP - Executive Registry - DDO - CH/NIC - NIO-at Large - DDI - ADDI - DDI Registry - D/OGI - DD/OGI/S - DD/OGI/S - C/OGI/RD - C/OGI/RD | | |